# Modules with chain conditions up to isomorphism and artinian dimension

Alberto Facchini Università di Padova

Lens, 13 June 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Joint work with Zahra Nazemian

F. and Nazemian, *Modules with chain conditions up to isomorphism*, J. Algebra **453** (2016), 578–601.

F. and Nazemian, *Artinian dimension and isoradical of modules*, J. Algebra **484** (2017), 66–87.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

F. and Nazemian, *Modules with chain conditions up to isomorphism*, J. Algebra **453** (2016), 578–601.

F. and Nazemian, *Artinian dimension and isoradical of modules*, J. Algebra **484** (2017), 66–87.

We study modules with chain conditions up to isomorphism, in the following sense.

# **Classical definition**

Module = a right module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

Module = a right module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

A right module M is *artinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Module M = a module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

A right module M is *artinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

A right module M is *isoartinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n$  is isomorphic to  $M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

Module M = a module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

A right module M is *artinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

A right module M is *isoartinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n$  is isomorphic to  $M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

Examples:

Module M = a module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

A right module M is *artinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

A right module M is *isoartinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n$  is isomorphic to  $M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

Examples:  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ 

Module M = a module  $M_R$  over a fixed associative ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$ .

A right module M is *artinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

A right module M is *isoartinian* if, for every descending chain  $M \ge M_1 \ge M_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \ge 1$  such that  $M_n$  is isomorphic to  $M_i$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

Examples:  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , any vector space  $V_k$  over a field k.

# Right artinian rings

Classical definition: A ring R is right artinian if  $R_R$  is an artinian module.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Classical definition: A ring R is right artinian if  $R_R$  is an artinian module.

Iso definition: A ring R is right isoartinian if  $R_R$  is an isoartinian module.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Classical definition: A module M is noetherian if, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Classical definition: A module M is noetherian if, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$  such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .

Iso definition: A module M is isonoetherian if, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$  such that  $M_n \cong M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .

Classical definition: M is simple if it is non-zero and every non-zero submodule of M is equal to M.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Classical definition: M is simple if it is non-zero and every non-zero submodule of M is equal to M.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Iso definition: We say that M is isosimple if it is non-zero and every non-zero submodule of M is isomorphic to M.

Classical definition: M is simple if it is non-zero and every non-zero submodule of M is equal to M.

Iso definition: We say that M is isosimple if it is non-zero and every non-zero submodule of M is isomorphic to M.

We find in these "iso" notions a surprising analogy with the classical case of artinian, noetherian and simple modules.

# Standard characterizations of noetherian modules

Classical result:

Proposition

The following conditions are equivalent for a right module M: (i) M is noetherian (that is, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$ such that  $M_n = M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .) (ii) Every non-empty chain of submodules of M has a greatest element.

(iii) Every nonempty set of submodules of M has a maximal element.

# Characterizations of isonoetherian modules

"Iso" result:

## Proposition

The following conditions are equivalent for a right module M: (i) M is isonoetherian (that is, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$ such that  $M_n \cong M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .)

# Characterizations of isonoetherian modules

"Iso" result:

## Proposition

The following conditions are equivalent for a right module M: (i) M is isonoetherian (that is, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$ such that  $M_n \cong M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .) (ii) For every non-empty chain C of submodules of M, there exists  $N \in C$  such that, for every  $N' \geq N$ , if  $N' \in C$ , then  $N \cong N'$ .

## Characterizations of isonoetherian modules

"Iso" result:

## Proposition

The following conditions are equivalent for a right module M: (i) M is isonoetherian (that is, for every ascending chain  $M_1 \leq M_2 \leq \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index  $n \geq 1$ such that  $M_n \cong M_i$  for every  $i \geq n$ .) (ii) For every non-empty chain C of submodules of M, there exists  $N \in C$  such that, for every  $N' \geq N$ , if  $N' \in C$ , then  $N \cong N'$ . (iii) For every non-empty set  $\mathcal{F}$  of submodules of M, there exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  such that, for every  $N' \geq N$ , if  $N' \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $N \cong N'$ . Artinian modules are essential extensions of their socle

Classical result:

Theorem

Any artinian module M contains an essential submodule that is a direct sum of simple modules.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Artinian modules are essential extensions of their socle

Classical result:

#### Theorem

Any artinian module M contains an essential submodule that is a direct sum of simple modules.

Iso result:

#### Theorem

Any isoartinian module M contains an essential submodule that is a direct sum of isosimple modules.

Non-zero endomorphisms of simple modules

Classical result:

#### Lemma

Every non-zero endomorphism of a simple module is a bijective mapping.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Non-zero endomorphisms of simple modules

Classical result:

#### Lemma

Every non-zero endomorphism of a simple module is a bijective mapping.

Iso result:

#### Lemma

Every non-zero endomorphism of an isosimple module is an injective mapping.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Endomorphism rings of simple modules

Classical result (Schur's Lemma):

Lemma

The endomorphism ring of a simple module is a division ring.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Endomorphism rings of simple modules

Classical result (Schur's Lemma):

Lemma

The endomorphism ring of a simple module is a division ring.

Iso result:

#### Lemma

The endomorphism ring of an isosimple right module is a right Ore domain, whose principal right ideals form a noetherian modular lattice with respect to inclusion.

# Semiprime ring

A ring R is *semiprime* if for every two-sided ideal I of R and every integer n > 0,  $I^n = 0$  implies I = 0.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Semiprime ring

A ring *R* is *semiprime* if for every two-sided ideal *I* of *R* and every integer n > 0,  $I^n = 0$  implies I = 0. (= *R* has no non-zero nilpotent two-sided ideal.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Semiprime ring

A ring *R* is *semiprime* if for every two-sided ideal *I* of *R* and every integer n > 0,  $I^n = 0$  implies I = 0. (= *R* has no non-zero nilpotent two-sided ideal.)

A commutative ring R is semiprime if and only if it has no non-zero nilpotent elements (= R is *reduced*).

The Artin-Wedderburn Theorem (semiprime right artinian rings)

Classical result:

Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R.

- (i) R is a semiprime right artinian ring.
- (ii) Every right R-module is projective.
- (iii) Every right R-module is injective.
- (iv) Every right R-module is semisimple.

# The Artin-Wedderburn Theorem (semiprime right artinian rings)

- (v) Every short exact sequence of right *R*-modules splits.
- (vi) The module  $R_R$  is semisimple, i.e., a (direct) sum of simple right ideals.
- (vii) R is right artinian and has no non-zero nilpotent right ideal.
- (viii) There exist integers  $t, n_1, \ldots, n_t \ge 1$  and division rings  $D_1, \ldots, D_t$  such that

$$R \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_t}(D_t). \tag{1}$$

The corresponding iso result for semiprime right isoartinian rings

## Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a semiprime right isoartinian ring *R*:

- (i) R is right noetherian.
- (ii) R is right Goldie.
- (iii)  $R_R$  has finite Goldie dimension.
- (iv) If S is an isosimple right ideal of R and  $I_S$  the ideal which is the sum of all the right ideals isomorphic to S, then  $I_S$  is a finite direct sum of isosimple right ideals.

(v)  $R_R$  is a (direct) sum of isosimple right ideals.

# The Wedderburn Theorem

Classical result:

Theorem

(The Wedderburn Theorem) A ring R is simple and right artinian if and only if R is a matrix ring over a division ring.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# The Wedderburn Theorem

Classical result:

Theorem

(The Wedderburn Theorem) A ring R is simple and right artinian if and only if R is a matrix ring over a division ring.

Iso result:

Theorem

A ring R is simple and right isoartinian if and only if R is a matrix ring over a simple principal right ideal domain.

# Commutative semiprime rings

Classical result:

Proposition

A commutative semiprime ring is artinian if and only if it is isomorphic to a finite direct product of fields.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <
# Commutative semiprime rings

Classical result:

Proposition

A commutative semiprime ring is artinian if and only if it is isomorphic to a finite direct product of fields.

Iso result:

Proposition

A commutative semiprime ring is isoartinian if and only if it is isomorphic to a finite direct product of principal ideal domains.

Classical result:

Proposition

Every noetherian module has finite Goldie dimension.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Classical result:

Proposition Every noetherian module has finite Goldie dimension.

Iso result:

Proposition

Every isonoetherian module has finite Goldie dimension.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Classical result (Goldie):

Theorem

An integral domain D is right Ore if and only if  $D_D$  is uniform, if and only if  $D_D$  has finite Goldie dimension. In particular, right noetherian domains are right Ore domains.

Classical result (Goldie):

### Theorem

An integral domain D is right Ore if and only if  $D_D$  is uniform, if and only if  $D_D$  has finite Goldie dimension. In particular, right noetherian domains are right Ore domains.

Iso result:

### Theorem

If D is a right isonoetherian domain, then D is a right Ore domain.

# Classical definition: The class $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$ of modules of Krull dimension $\alpha$ is defined as follows.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Classical definition:

The class  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. The class  $\mathcal{K}_{-1}$  contains all modules M = 0.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ ▲国 ● ● ●

#### Classical definition:

The class  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. The class  $\mathcal{K}_{-1}$  contains all modules M = 0. If the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\beta$  has been defined for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  is defined as the class of all modules M such that

#### Classical definition:

The class  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. The class  $\mathcal{K}_{-1}$  contains all modules M = 0. If the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\beta$  has been defined for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  is defined as the class of all modules M such that (a)  $M \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ ;

#### Classical definition:

The class  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\alpha$  is defined as follows. The class  $\mathcal{K}_{-1}$  contains all modules M = 0. If the class  $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\beta$  has been defined for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  is defined as the class of all modules M such that (a)  $M \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{K}_{\beta}$ ;

(b) for every countable descending chain  $A_0 \ge A_1 \ge A_2 \ge \ldots$  of submodules of M, there exists an index n such that the factors  $A_i/A_{i+1}$  belong to  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{K}_\beta$  for every  $i \ge n$ .

If  $M \notin \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha$  one says that M fails to have Krull dimension, or that  $K.dim(M_R)$  is not defined.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

If  $M \notin \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha$  one says that M fails to have Krull dimension, or that  $K.dim(M_R)$  is not defined.

Thus, K.dim $(M_R) = -1$  if and only if  $M_R = 0$ 

If  $M \notin \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha$  one says that M fails to have Krull dimension, or that  $K.dim(M_R)$  is not defined.

Thus, K.dim $(M_R) = -1$  if and only if  $M_R = 0$ 

K.dim $(M_R) = 0$  if and only if  $M_R$  is a non-zero artinian module.

Iso definition:



Iso definition: Let R be a ring and A be the class of all artinian right R-modules.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Iso definition:

Let R be a ring and A be the class of all artinian right R-modules. Let  $A_0$  be the set containing only the zero module, and set  $A_1 := A$ .

#### Iso definition:

Let *R* be a ring and *A* be the class of all artinian right *R*-modules. Let  $A_0$  be the set containing only the zero module, and set  $A_1 := A$ . Define by induction, for every ordinal number  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $A_\alpha$ to be the class of all the right *R*-modules *M* for which, for every submodule *N* of *M*, either  $N \cong M$  or  $N \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ .

#### Iso definition:

Let R be a ring and A be the class of all artinian right R-modules. Let  $A_0$  be the set containing only the zero module, and set  $A_1 := A$ . Define by induction, for every ordinal number  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $A_\alpha$ to be the class of all the right R-modules M for which, for every submodule N of M, either  $N \cong M$  or  $N \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ . If a module M belongs to some  $A_\alpha$ , we say that M has artinian dimension and the least such  $\alpha$  is the artinian dimension of M, denoted by art. dim(M).

#### Iso definition:

Let R be a ring and A be the class of all artinian right R-modules. Let  $A_0$  be the set containing only the zero module, and set  $A_1 := A$ . Define by induction, for every ordinal number  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $A_\alpha$ to be the class of all the right R-modules M for which, for every submodule N of M, either  $N \cong M$  or  $N \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ . If a module M belongs to some  $A_\alpha$ , we say that M has artinian dimension and the least such  $\alpha$  is the artinian dimension of M, denoted by art. dim(M). If M does not belong to any  $A_\alpha$ , we say that M does not have artinian dimension.

Thus:

Thus:

(1) The zero module is of artinian dimension 0.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Thus:

(1) The zero module is of artinian dimension 0.

(2) Artinian modules are of artinian dimension 1.

Thus:

(1) The zero module is of artinian dimension 0.

(2) Artinian modules are of artinian dimension 1.

(3) If a module M has artinian dimension, then its submodules have artinian dimension  $\leq \operatorname{art.dim}(M)$ .

Artininan dimension, isoartinian modules, semisimple artinian rings

Theorem

A module has artinian dimension if and only if it is isoartinian.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Artininan dimension, isoartinian modules, semisimple artinian rings

### Theorem

A module has artinian dimension if and only if it is isoartinian.

#### Theorem

A ring R is semisimple artinian if and only if all right R-modules have artinian dimension.

Classical definition: The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

Classical definition:

The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

Iso definition:

### Classical definition:

The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

#### Iso definition:

The *right isoradical* I-rad $(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all isosimple right R-modules.

### Classical definition:

The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

#### Iso definition:

The *right isoradical* I-rad $(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all isosimple right R-modules.

Clearly:

### Classical definition:

The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

#### Iso definition:

The *right isoradical* I-rad $(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all isosimple right R-modules.

Clearly: (1) I-rad( $R_R$ ) is a two-sided ideal of R.

### Classical definition:

The (right Jacobson) radical  $rad(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple right R-modules.

#### Iso definition:

The *right isoradical* I-rad $(R_R)$  of a ring R is the intersection of the annihilators of all isosimple right R-modules.

Clearly: (1) I-rad( $R_R$ ) is a two-sided ideal of R. (2) I-rad( $R_R$ )  $\subseteq$  rad(R) of R. There exist right noetherian right chain domains in which the right isoradical and the left isoradical are different.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

# Radical zero

Classical result:

Proposition

A right artinian ring R is semiprime if and only if its (right Jacobson) radical rad(R) is zero.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Radical zero

Classical result:

Proposition

A right artinian ring R is semiprime if and only if its (right Jacobson) radical rad(R) is zero.

Iso result:

Proposition

A right isoartinian ring R is semiprime if and only if its right isoradical I-rad $(R_R)$  is zero.

## Socle and isosocle

Classical definition:

The socle  $soc(M_R)$  of a module  $M_R$  is the sum of all simple submodules of  $M_R$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Classical definition:

The socle  $soc(M_R)$  of a module  $M_R$  is the sum of all simple submodules of  $M_R$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any class of right R-modules
#### Classical definition:

The socle  $soc(M_R)$  of a module  $M_R$  is the sum of all simple submodules of  $M_R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any class of right *R*-modules and Gen( $\mathcal{U}$ ) the class of all right modules  $M_R$  for which there exist an indexed set  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and an epimorphism  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \to M$ .

#### Classical definition:

The socle  $soc(M_R)$  of a module  $M_R$  is the sum of all simple submodules of  $M_R$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any class of right *R*-modules and Gen( $\mathcal{U}$ ) the class of all right modules  $M_R$  for which there exist an indexed set  $(U_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and an epimorphism  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \to M$ .

Set  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\mathcal{U}) := \sum \{ h(U) \mid h \colon U \to M \text{ is a homomorphism for some } U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ . Thus  $M \in \operatorname{Gen}(\mathcal{U})$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\mathcal{U}) = M$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Iso definition: For  $\mathcal{U}$  the class of all isosimple right *R*-modules,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\mathcal{U}) := \operatorname{I-soc}(M)$ , the *I-socle* of  $M_{R}$ .

Iso definition: For  $\mathcal{U}$  the class of all isosimple right *R*-modules,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\mathcal{U}) := \operatorname{I-soc}(M)$ , the *I-socle* of  $M_{R}$ .

(1)  $\operatorname{soc}(M_R) \leq \operatorname{I-soc}(M)$ .

Iso definition: For  $\mathcal{U}$  the class of all isosimple right *R*-modules,  $\operatorname{Tr}_{M}(\mathcal{U}) := \operatorname{I-soc}(M)$ , the *I-socle* of  $M_{R}$ .

(1)  $\operatorname{soc}(M_R) \leq \operatorname{I-soc}(M)$ .

(2) If  $M_R$  is a nonsingular module, then  $1-soc(M_R) = M_R$  if and only if  $M_R$  is the sum of its isosimple submodules.

Classical result:

Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is semisimple artinian.
- $(2) \, \operatorname{soc}(R) = R.$
- (3) R is a sum of simple right ideals.
- (4) For any right R-module M, soc(M) = M.
- (5) R is a finite direct product of matrix rings over division rings.

(6) R is a direct sum of simple right ideals.

Iso result:

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

Iso result:

Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) I-soc(R) = R.
- (2) R is a sum of isosimple right ideals.
- (3) For any right R-module M, I-soc(M) = M.

(4) *R* is a finite direct product of prime right noetherian rings, each of which is a sum of isosimple right ideals.

### Theorem

The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

(1) *R* is a finite direct product of matrix rings over principal right ideal domains.

- (2) R is a direct sum of isosimple right ideals.
- (3) R is right semihereditary and  $I-soc(R_R) = R$ .
- (4) R is a semiprime right isoartinian right noetherian ring.

(5) R is a semiprime right isoartinian ring and  $R_R$  is of finite uniform dimension.

## Modules of finite I-length

We say that a chain  $0 = P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_n = M$  of submodules of M is an I-series for M if  $P_i \ncong P_{i+1}$  for each i and, for every submodule K of M with  $P_i \le K \le P_{i+1}$ , we have that either  $K \cong P_i$  or  $K \cong P_{i+1}$ .

## Modules of finite I-length

We say that a chain  $0 = P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_n = M$  of submodules of M is an *I-series* for M if  $P_i \ncong P_{i+1}$  for each i and, for every submodule K of M with  $P_i \le K \le P_{i+1}$ , we have that either  $K \cong P_i$  or  $K \cong P_{i+1}$ . A module M is said to be of finite *I-length* if it has an *I-series*.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Modules of finite I-length

We say that a chain  $0 = P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_n = M$  of submodules of M is an *l-series* for M if  $P_i \ncong P_{i+1}$  for each i and, for every submodule K of M with  $P_i \le K \le P_{i+1}$ , we have that either  $K \cong P_i$  or  $K \cong P_{i+1}$ . A module M is said to be of finite *l-length* if it has an *l-series*. In this case, the least such n is called the *l-length* of M,  $0 = P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_n = M$  is called an *l-length* series for M, and we write *l-length*(M) = n.

Classical result:

Proposition

A module is both noetherian and artinian if and only if it is of finite length.

Classical result:

Proposition

A module is both noetherian and artinian if and only if it is of finite length.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Iso result:

Classical result:

Proposition

A module is both noetherian and artinian if and only if it is of finite length.

Iso result:

Proposition

Let M be a module that is both isonoetherian and isoartinian. Then M is of finite I-length.

Classical result:

Proposition

A module is both noetherian and artinian if and only if it is of finite length.

Iso result:

Proposition

Let M be a module that is both isonoetherian and isoartinian. Then M is of finite I-length.

We don't know if the converse of this proposition holds. We only have some partial results.

## Some things are different

### Proposition

If *R* is right artinian (right noetherian), then every finitely generated right *R*-module is right artinian (right noetherian).

## Some things are different

### Proposition

If *R* is right artinian (right noetherian), then every finitely generated right *R*-module is right artinian (right noetherian).

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

But:

## Some things are different

### Proposition

If *R* is right artinian (right noetherian), then every finitely generated right *R*-module is right artinian (right noetherian).

But:

There exist right isoartinian rings with cyclic right modules that are not isoartinian.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <